P.R. No. 968/07
Presented by Shri D.K. Vishwas
dated 03.12.07

SINGLE BENCH

(1) (5)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. 808 OF 2007

PETITIONER — Smt. Shivkumari Wife of Shri Sukhnandan, aged about 21 years, Caste Gond, Resident of Kanwarpara Songara, Village Panchayat Songara, Janpad Panchayat Pratappur, District Surguja (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : —

1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of Women and Child Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED-COPY
3/12/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The Collector, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

3. The District Programme Officer, Woman and Child Development, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

4. The Project Officer, Women and Child Development, Ambikapur, District Surguja (C.G.).

5. The Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Pratappur, District Surguja 9C.G.).

(2) (6)

6. Village Panchayat Songara, Through : The Sarpanch, Village Panchayat Songara, Janpad Panchayat Pratappur, District Surguja (C.G.).

7. Smt. Reeta Wife of Shri Shivpujan, Resident of Songara, Village Panchayat Songara, Janpad Panchayat Pratappur, District Surguja (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS, CERTIORARI, PROHIBITION ETC.

(50)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P. No. 808 of 2007

Petitioner — Smt. Shivkumari

Versus

Respondents — State of Chhattisgarh and others

SB: Hon'ble Mr. Justice **Satish K. Agnihotri, J**

Shri V. K. Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Miss Deepali Pandey, Panel lawyer for the respondents No.1 to 4.

ORDER

(Passed on this 12th day of February, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has not availed the alternative remedy of appeal under Rule 3 (b) of the M. P. Panchayats (Appeal and Revision) Rules, 1995. The petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the petition with liberty to avail the statutory remedy.
2. It is ordered accordingly.
3. The petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above stated liberty. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Thakur